

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/679,398	10/07/2003		Robert G. Hockaday	ERD	5473	
7590 04/11/2005				EXAM	EXAMINER	
James C. Wra	у		MAI, HUY KIM			
Suite 300 1493 Chain Bri	dge Road	i	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
McLean, VA 22101				2873		
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/11/2009	DATE MAILED: 04/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Mc

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/679,398	HOCKADAY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Huy K. Mai	2873					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ag	<u>oril 2005</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-95 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2-95 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 October 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the original ori	a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	•	:					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) tte atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/679,398

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed April 5, 2005 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The features "an electrostatic filter mountable between the eyewear and a user's face" as claimed in claim 54 and "an electrostatic filter disposed between a user's face and the eyewear" as claimed in claim 83 are not supported in the specification. Nowhere in the specification and in drawings describe and/or show such features.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the features "an electrostatic filter mountable between the eyewear and a user's face" as claimed in claim 54 and "an electrostatic filter disposed between a user's face and the eyewear" as claimed in claim 83 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must

be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. The indicated allowability of claims 2,21,22,34,47 and 49-53 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to US pat. No. 5,966,746 issued to Reedy et al and US PG Pub no. 2004/0011363. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-95 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reedy et al (5,966,746) in view of Wiener et al (2004/0011363).

Reedy et al discloses in Figs. 1-8, an eyewear device comprising a frame 14, lens 12 on the frame, and a filter 44,46,48 disposed between the lens and a user's face for filtering air in an air volume in the eyewear, wherein the filter including an air treatment device for allowing chemicals and debris in the air to be filtered or neutralized before entering the goggles. Reedy et

al discloses a number of materials can be use to made the filter and the air treatment. However, he does not mention an electrostatic filter as claimed by the applicant. Wiener et al discloses biological defense masks using an electrostatic filter for filtering the air from outside pass through the electrostatic filter into the breathing space. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to those skilled in the art to modify the Reedy et al's device in light of Wiener et al's teachings by forming a filter being an electrostatic filter for allowing chemicals and debris in the air to be filtered or neutralized before entering the goggles as disclosed by Reedy et al and Wiener et al as the same as the applicant does.

Regarding claims 14-20,52,53,66-70 and 89-95, Reedy et al discloses in Figs. 7-8 the entire filter can be removable as a unit.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huy Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-2334. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00 a.m.-4:30 p.m.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached on (571) 272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1562.

Art Unit: 2873

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Huy Mai

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2873

HKM/

April 7, 2005